



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,808	08/27/2003	Vincent Armentano	2003536.129 US2	3940
28089	7590	06/09/2009		
WILMERHALE/NEW YORK			EXAMINER	
399 PARK AVENUE			CHOI, PETER H	
NEW YORK, NY 10022				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3623	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/09/2009	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

michael.mathewson@wilmerhale.com  
teresa.carvalho@wilmerhale.com  
sharon.matthews@wilmerhale.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/648,808	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ARMENTANO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> PETER CHOI	<b>Art Unit</b> 3623	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) PETER CHOI (PTO personnel). (3) Irah Donner (applicant's representative).

(2) Michael Halas (applicant's representative). (4) Julie Morgan & Vincent Armentano (applicants).

Date of Interview: 03 June 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Identification of prior art discussed: FHRDC, Johnson, Jacobs, Miller.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants and their representatives explained the functionality and purpose of the claimed invention. A brief discussion was held regarding the outstanding Bilski 101 rejection and how to overcome said 101 rejection. Applicants stated their intention to prepare claim amendments for consideration by the Examiner.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jonathan G. Sterrett/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3623	/P. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 3623
---	------------------------------------